This Page is Inserted by IFW Indexing and Scanning Operations and is not part of the Official Record

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images include but are not limited to the items checked:
☐ BLACK BORDERS
☐ IMAGE CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES
☐ FADED TEXT OR DRAWING
☐ BLURRED OR ILLEGIBLE TEXT OR DRAWING
SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES*
☐ COLOR OR BLACK AND WHITE PHOTOGRAPHS
GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS
LINES OR MARKS ON ORIGINAL DOCUMENT
REFERENCE(S) OR EXHIBIT(S) SUBMITTED ARE POOR QUALITY
OTHER:

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

As rescanning these documents will not correct the image problems checked, please do not report these problems to the IFW Image Problem Mailbox.





United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/666,171	09/21/2000	Daniel M. Lewin	12293:41	9140
7590 08/31/2004			EXAMINER	
David H. Judson			CHANG, JUNGWON	
Akamai Technologies, Inc. 500 Technology Square		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Cambridge, MA 02139			2154	
			DATE MAILED: 08/31/200	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



			(0)/		
		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		09/666,171	LEWIN ET AL.		
		Examiner	Art Unit		
		Jungwon Chang	2154		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	oears on the cover sheet with the C	correspondence address		
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu Any I	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. sicions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on Ame	ndment filed on 5/6/2004.			
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) This	s action is non-final.			
3)[
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.		
Dispositi	on of Claims				
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraged is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or				
Applicati	on Papers				
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.			
10)		epted or b) objected to by the			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the				
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex				
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119				
a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureausee the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicat rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage		
Attachmen	t(s)				
1) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary			
3) Inform	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)		
S. Patent and T	rademark Office				

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/666,171

Art Unit: 2154

FINAL ACTION

- 1. This office action is responsive to the amendment filed on May 6, 2004.

 Claim 1 is amended, claims 5 and 6 are newly added. Claims 1-6 are presented for examination.
- 2. The objection of drawings is withdrawn in responsive to the filing of corrected drawings filed on 5/6/2004.
- 3. The text of those sections of Title 35, U. S. Code 103 not included in this office action can be found in a prior office action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 5. Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
 - i. as to claim 1, line 11, it is not clearly understood what is meant by "objects served <u>form</u> the third party cache" (i.e., "objects served <u>from</u> the third party cache");

Art Unit: 2154

ii. as to claim 5, line 3, it is not clear what the "CIDR" stands for (i.e.,Common InterDomain Routing);

- 6. Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Scharber (US 6,542,964 B1), in view of Einarson et al. (US 6,704,781), hereinafter Einarson.
- 7. As to claim 1, Scharber discloses the invention substantially as claimed, including a content delivery method operative in a network (i.e., allowing a cache server to serve as an economical distribution mechanism; col. 4, lines 18-26), comprising:

configuring a third party cache (5, 17, 20, 22, fig. 1) into a registration server (i.e., origin server; 19, fig. 1) of a content delivery network (i.e., service provider network, fig. 1) having a set of edge caches (i.e., edge cache servers, 14, fig. 1; col. 2, lines 1-10) located at given network locations by transferring information and content data from the third party cache to the registration server (i.e., origin server (38, fig. 2) and cache (36, fig. 2) communicate via bi-directional communication link that allows to transfer information and data in both direction); and by transferring information and data from the registration server of content delivery network to the third party cache (i.e., transfer particular content across the peering point only once and then serve all subsequent requests for that content from the cache, 17, fig. 1; col. 2, lines 11-26; storing content of a particular type at cache server according to a site (origin server; col. 5, lines 66-

Art Unit: 2154

67) with the content; col. 5, lines 53-67);

responsive to given content requests received at the third party cache, serving requested objects from the third party cache(col. 7, lines 13-23; col. 9, lines 10-23).

8. Scharber discloses the third party cache retrieves the requested content requested by the client from the registration server (col. 4, lines 48-51; col. 7, lines 17-20 and 50-52; col. 5, lines 19-21). Einarson discloses registering a third party cache (102, fig. 1) into a registration server (106, fig. 1) (i.e., offering caching services to a server through network; col. 1, lines 10-17; col. 4, lines 4-6 and 22-19). It would have obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Scharber and Einarson because Einarson's registering method would improve the security of Scharber's system by allowing the third party cache which located outside the content delivery network to obtain authorization to access the registration server.

Scharber does not specifically disclose periodically transferring a log from the third party cache to the content delivery network identifying the objects served from the third party cache. Einarson discloses periodically transferring a log from the third party cache to the content delivery network identifying the objects served from the third party cache (i.e., caching status information sent to the server includes logs of hits for each page (provides comprehensive reports back to the origin server); col. 3, lines 16-22). It would have been obvious to one of

Art Unit: 2154

ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Scharber and Einarson because Einarson's log information would allow the content delivery network to analyze the hit rate for the contents served by the third party cache.

- 9. As to claim 2, Scharber does not specifically disclose periodically transferring a log from the third party cache to the content delivery network identifying the objects served from the third party cache. Einarson discloses periodically transferring a log from the third party cache to the content delivery network identifying the objects served from the third party cache (i.e., caching status information sent to the server includes logs of hits for each page (provides comprehensive reports back to the origin server); col. 3, lines 16-22). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Scharber and Einarson because Einarson's log information would allow the content delivery network to analyze the hit rate for the contents served by the third party cache.
- 10. As to claims 3 and 4, Scharber does not specifically disclose having the content delivery network bill a content provider for delivery of the given content from the third party cache. However, Einarson discloses having the content delivery network bill a content provider for delivery of the given content from the third party cache (i.e., requested fees for caching origin server content, a summary of charges for caching services; col. 2, lines 37-41; bill the owner of the

Art Unit: 2154

origin servers and to pay the owners of the caching appliances; col. 5, lines 36-41). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teaching of Scharber and Einarson because Einarson's billing for delivery of the content would increase revenue by providing the delivery service.

- 11. Claims 5 and 6 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 12. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-6 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
- 13. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be

Page 7

Application/Control Number: 09/666,171

Art Unit: 2154

calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

14. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jungwon Chang whose telephone number is (703)305-9669. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:30-6:00 (Monday-Friday).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Meng-Ai An can be reached on (703)308-9052. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703)746-7239.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)305-9669.

JWC

August 25, 2004

JOHN FOLLANSBEE

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100